

$$H_\infty \neq E_\infty$$

Justin Noel

ABSTRACT. We provide an example of a spectrum over  $S^0$  with an  $H_\infty$  structure which does not rigidify to an  $E_3$  structure. It follows that in the category of spectra over  $S^0$  not every  $H_\infty$  ring spectrum comes from an underlying  $E_\infty$  ring spectrum. After comparing definitions, we obtain this example by applying  $\Sigma_+^\infty$  to the counterexample to the transfer conjecture constructed by Kraines and Lada.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years there has been a renewed interest in the study of  $E_\infty$  ring spectra and their strictly commutative analogues, commutative  $S$ -algebras. Such spectra are equipped with a well-behaved theory of power operations. This structure provides formidable computational tools which can be used to deduce a number of surprising results (for some examples see [1, Ch. 2]).

Such operations determine and are determined by an  $H_\infty$  ring structure, the analogue of an  $E_\infty$  ring structure in the stable *homotopy* category. The theory of power operations is sufficiently rich that one might conjecture that every  $H_\infty$  ring spectrum is obtained by taking an  $E_\infty$  ring spectrum and then passing to the homotopy category.

This turns out to be a stable analogue of the transfer conjecture, a conjectural equivalence between the homotopy category of infinite loop spaces and a subcategory of the homotopy category of based spaces whose objects admit certain transfer homomorphisms (see [4] for a more complete description).

Kraines and Lada demonstrate the falsehood of the transfer conjecture by constructing an explicit counterexample. In their paper, Kraines and Lada define the notion of an  $L(n)$  space. When  $n = 2$ , this is a space equipped with transfer

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55P43, 55P47, 55P48.

The author was partially supported by the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through Graduiertenkolleg 1150.

homomorphisms. They make use of the following implications

$$\begin{aligned} X \text{ is an infinite loop space} &\implies X \text{ is an } E_\infty \text{ space} \\ &\iff X \text{ is an } L(\infty) \text{ space} \\ &\implies X \text{ is an } L(n) \text{ space} \\ &\implies X \text{ is an } L(n-1) \text{ space} \dots \end{aligned}$$

We can view lifting an  $L(n)$  structure to an  $L(n+1)$  structure and so on as constructing an action of the  $E_\infty$  operad up to increasingly coherent homotopy.

**THEOREM 1.1** ([4]). *Let  $s$  be a generator of  $\text{Prim}H^{30}(BU; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$ . Define  $KL$  by the following fibration sequence:*

$$KL \xrightarrow{i} BU_{(2)} \xrightarrow{4s} K(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}, 30).$$

*Then  $i$  is a map of  $L(2)$  spaces, but the  $L(2)$  structure on  $KL$  does not lift to an  $E_3$  structure. In particular,  $KL$  does not admit an  $E_\infty$  structure compatible with this  $L(2)$  structure.*

After some translation we will prove the following theorem, which provides an example in the category of  $H_\infty$  ring spectra augmented over  $S^0$  whose  $H_\infty$  structure does not arise by forgetting an  $E_\infty$  structure.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *The map*

$$\Sigma_+^\infty KL \xrightarrow{\Sigma_+^\infty i} \Sigma_+^\infty BU_{(2)}$$

*is a map of  $H_\infty$  ring spectra augmented over  $S^0$ , but the  $H_\infty$  ring structure on  $\Sigma_+^\infty KL$  does not lift to an  $E_3$  structure. In particular,  $\Sigma_+^\infty KL$  does not admit a compatible  $E_\infty$  ring structure.*

To prove this we will show that  $\Sigma_+^\infty$  takes  $L(2)$  spaces to  $H_\infty$  ring spectra under  $S^0$  and takes  $E_\infty$  spaces to  $E_\infty$  ring spectra under  $S^0$ . This comparison is deduced immediately from some of the results in [6].

The author would also like to thank Peter May and the anonymous referee for their helpful comments and suggestions concerning this paper.

## 2. $L(n)$ spaces and spectra

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the linear isometries operad. We will abuse notation and let  $L$  denote the associated reduced monad on pointed spaces with Cartesian products, spaces under  $S^0$  with smash products, and spectra under  $S^0$  with smash products.

In particular:

- $L$  is an endofunctor on pointed spaces satisfying

$$LY = \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} Y^n / (\sim),$$

where  $\sim$  represents the obvious base point identifications.

- $L$  is an endofunctor on spaces under  $S^0$  satisfying

$$LY = \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} Y^n / (\sim),$$

where  $\sim$  represents the obvious unit map identifications.

- $L$  is an endofunctor on the Lewis-May-Steinberger category of spectra (see [5]) under  $S^0$  satisfying

$$LE = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(n) \rtimes_{\Sigma_n} E^{\wedge n} / (\sim),$$

where  $\sim$  represents the obvious unit map identifications (see [3, 4.9,6.1]).

We justify this abuse of notation with the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.1 ([6, 4.8, p. 1027]). *We have the following chain of isomorphisms natural in based spaces<sup>1</sup>  $X$*

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_+^\infty LX &\equiv \Sigma^\infty(LX)_+ \\ &\cong \Sigma^\infty L(X_+) \\ &\cong L\Sigma^\infty X_+ \\ &\equiv L\Sigma_+^\infty X. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, for the remainder of this paper we will assume all spaces are non-degenerately based and let  $e: \text{Id} \rightarrow L$  and  $\mu: L^2 = LL \rightarrow L$  denote the structure maps of  $L$ .

Recall that the category of  $L$ -algebras in group-like pointed spaces is equivalent to the category of infinite loop spaces. The following definition provides a categorical filtration between spaces and homotopy coherent  $L$ -algebras (which are weakly equivalent to  $L$ -algebras).

DEFINITION 2.2. A based space  $X$  is  $L(n)$  if one can construct maps

$$f_k: I^k \times L^{k+1} X \rightarrow X \text{ for } k < n$$

such that

- (1) the composite  $X \xrightarrow{e} LX \xrightarrow{f_0} X$  is the identity,
- (2) if  $t_j = 0$ ,  $f_k(t_1, \dots, t_k, z) = f_{k-1} \circ (\text{Id}_{I^{k-1}} \times L^{j-1} \mu L^{k-j})(t_1, \dots, \widehat{t}_j, \dots, t_k, z)$ ,
- (3) and if  $t_j = 1$ ,  $f_k(t_1, \dots, t_k, z) = f_{j-1} \circ (\text{Id}_{I^{j-1}} \times L^j f_{k-j})(t_1, \dots, \widehat{t}_j, \dots, t_k, z)$ .

REMARK 2.3. Despite the similarity in notation, we remind the reader that the *property* of being  $L(n)$  has nothing to do with the *space*  $\mathcal{L}(n)$ . We also note that being  $E_n$  does not imply the space is  $L(n)$ .

REMARK 2.4. Note that our definition of a  $L(n)$  space is different from that of a  $Q_n$  space used in [4]. Kraines and Lada restrict to the case when  $X$  is connected, in which case  $L$  could be replaced with  $Q = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty$ . In this respect, our definition is more general.

We illustrate our definition with a sequence of examples (for more detailed exposition and proofs see [4] or [2, V]).

EXAMPLE 2.5.

- (1) By definition, every based space is a  $L(0)$  space.
- (2) A based space  $X$  is  $L(1)$ , if the canonical map  $X \rightarrow LX$  admits a retraction  $\mu_X$ , which we can regard as the multiplication on  $X$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>It is helpful to think of this basepoint as the multiplicative unit.

- (3) A space  $X$  is  $L(2)$ , if it is  $L(1)$  and we have a specified homotopy  $I \times L^2X \rightarrow X$  between  $\mu_X\mu$  and  $\mu(\mu)$ . In other words,  $X$  is an  $L$ -algebra in the homotopy category of pointed spaces.
- (4) In the case  $L$  is the monad associated to an operad, by a result of Lada [2],  $X$  is  $L(\infty)$  if and only if it admits an  $L$ -algebra structure. If the components of  $X$  form a group under the induced multiplication, then  $X$  is  $L(\infty)$  if and only if it has the homotopy type of an infinite loop space.

There is an obvious analogue of the above definition with based spaces replaced by spectra under  $S^0$ , where  $L$  is the monad whose algebras are  $E_\infty$  ring spectra in this category [6, 6.2]. So we obtain an analogous categorical filtration between spectra under  $S^0$  and  $E_\infty$  ring spectra.

Applying this equivalence to the definition of  $L(n)$  spectra, we see that the definition of an  $L(2)$  spectrum is precisely the definition of a  $H_\infty$  ring spectrum under  $S^0$  [1]. By Lemma 2.1 we see that applying  $\Sigma_+^\infty$  to the map

$$KL \rightarrow BU$$

of  $L(2)$  spaces constructed by Kraines and Lada we obtain a map of  $H_\infty$  ring spectra augmented over  $S^0$ .

To see that  $\Sigma_+^\infty KL$  is not an  $E_\infty$  ring spectrum we apply the argument of [4, §8]. There they show that the Postnikov system for  $KL$  gives rise to a fibration sequence:

$$KL_{\leq 29} \rightarrow KL_{\leq 28} \simeq BU_{\leq 28} \xrightarrow{\tau} K(\mathbb{Z}/(4 \cdot 15!), 30).$$

If  $KL$  were an infinite loop space,  $KL_{\leq 29}$  would be as well and the  $k$ -invariant would be an infinite loop map. However they demonstrate that  $\tau$  can not be delooped twice to a multiplicative map and so the above Postnikov fibration can not be delooped twice to a Postnikov system of  $A_\infty$  spaces. As a consequence  $KL_{\leq 29}$  and  $KL$  fail to be  $E_3$  spaces and the corresponding suspension spectra fail to have induced  $E_3$  structures.

## References

1. R. R. Bruner, J. P. May, J. E. McClure, and M. Steinberger,  *$H_\infty$  ring spectra and their applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1176, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR MR836132 (88e:55001)
2. Frederick R. Cohen, Thomas J. Lada, and J. Peter May, *The homology of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 533, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. MR MR0436146 (55 #9096)
3. A. D. Elmendorf, I. Kriz, M. A. Mandell, and J. P. May, *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 47, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997, With an appendix by M. Cole. MR MR1417719 (97h:55006)
4. David Kraines and Thomas Lada, *A counterexample to the transfer conjecture*, Algebraic topology, Waterloo, 1978 (Proc. Conf., Univ. Waterloo, Waterloo, Ont., 1978), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 741, Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. 588–624. MR MR557187 (81b:55024)
5. L. G. Lewis, J. P. May, and M. Steinberger, *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1213, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
6. J.P. May, *What precisely are  $E_\infty$  ring spaces and  $E_\infty$  ring spectra?*, Geometry & Topology Monographs, vol. 16, arxiv:0903.2813, March 2009, pp. 215–282.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, BONN, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* justin@mpim-bonn.mpg.de